

10/12/2021

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

STATE OF ILLINOIS
SENATE REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING
COOK COUNTY

Tuesday, October 12, 2021
2:35 p.m.

Via Zoom videoconference

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

PRESENT:

(Via Zoom videoconference)

ILLINOIS REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE:

- SENATOR ELGIE R. SIMS, JR., Vice-Chair
- SENATOR SCOTT M. BENNETT, Member
- SENATOR MATTIE HUNTER, Member
- SENATOR STEVE McCLURE, Member
- SENATOR JASON PLUMMER, Member
- SENATOR LAURA M. MURPHY, Member
- SENATOR CRISTINA CASTRO, Member
- SENATOR EMIL JONES, III, Member
- SENATOR SALLY TURNER, Member

WITNESSES:

- CANNON LAMBERT (President of the Cook County Bar Association)
- ABIGAIL NICHOLS (Illinois League of Women Voters)
- FRANK CALABRESE

ALSO PRESENT:

- SENATE STAFF
- MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

1 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: This meeting of the
2 Senate Redistricting Committee is called to
3 order. We have a letter from Republican Leader
4 McConchie appointing Senator Sally Turner to
5 temporarily replace Leader McConchie on the
6 Redistricting Committee for today's hearing.

7 Clerk, please call the roll.

8 THE CLERK: Senator Sally Turner.

9 SENATOR TURNER: Here.

10 THE CLERK: Senator Plummer.

11 SENATOR PLUMMER: Here.

12 THE CLERK: Senator McClure.

13 SENATOR McCLURE: Present.

14 THE CLERK: Spokesperson Barickman.

15 Senator Murphy.

16 SENATOR MURPHY: Here.

17 THE CLERK: Senator Jones.

18 SENATOR JONES: Here.

19 THE CLERK: Senator Hunter.

20 SENATOR HUNTER: Here.

21 THE CLERK: Senator Cunningham.

22 Senator Castro.

23 SENATOR CASTRO: Here.

24 THE CLERK: Senator Bennett.

1 SENATOR BENNETT: Present.

2 THE CLERK: Vice-Chair Sims.

3 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Present.

4 THE CLERK: And Senator Aquino.

5 Vice-Chair, we have a quorum.

6 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: There being a quorum
7 present, the following entities are seeking
8 leave to photograph or video today's
9 proceedings: BlueRoomStream, is there leave?

10 Leave being granted.

11 Senator Bennett for a motion.

12 SENATOR BENNETT: Sorry. I didn't have
13 the microphone. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would
14 move that the proceedings today be recorded and
15 transcribed by a court reporter for future
16 review.

17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator
18 Bennett. Senator Bennett moves to allow a court
19 reporter to transcribe today's hearing.

20 Is there leave?

21 Leave being granted, the court
22 reporter will be allowed to transcribe today's
23 hearing.

24 Today's hearing will focus on the

1 2020 census, the redistricting of Illinois
2 congressional districts and the redistricting of
3 judicial subcircuits in Cook County, but before
4 we start, let me welcome everyone here to the
5 17th district.

6 It is my honor to represent the
7 17th district and to welcome you here to Chicago
8 State University. I thank President Z Scott and
9 the team here at Chicago State for their
10 hospitality in welcoming us here to one of the
11 finest public institutions here in the State of
12 Illinois.

13 Unfortunately, one of the
14 technical difficulties we're having was staff
15 was -- prepared a presentation that we were
16 going to present, but we could not get the
17 presentation to work on census numbers relating
18 to the congressional districts and the Cook
19 County subcircuits, but that presentation will
20 be posted later today on the Redistricting
21 Committee's website, which is www.ilga.gov.
22 Again, that website is www.ilga.gov.

23 We will now move to the following
24 witnesses who are here present. We have Cannon

1 Lambert, president of the Chicago Cook County
2 Bar Association, and Abigail Nichols on behalf
3 of the League of Women Voters.

4 Mr. Cannon, please come forward
5 and provide your testimony to the committee.
6 Put the headphones in. Please proceed,
7 Mr. President.

8 MR. LAMBERT: Thank you so much for giving
9 me the opportunity to address you today. As the
10 president of the oldest association of black
11 attorneys and judges in this country, I greet
12 you, and I rise in support of a fair, equitable
13 and inclusive subcircuit. On behalf of the Cook
14 County Bar Association, I thank you very much
15 for your audience.

16 Since 1914, the organization that
17 I represent has trained its energy on improving
18 the station of people subjected to structural
19 discrimination and those who have traditionally
20 been carved out of the political process.
21 That's why I'm addressing you today. I'm
22 extremely excited about the prospect of a new
23 map being drawn and commend you for you taking
24 up this responsibility.

1 Currently, the 1st, 2nd and 5th
2 circuits are all drawn to appropriately ensure
3 that the residents therein are able to interface
4 with a judiciary familiar with those
5 neighborhoods. Litigants are met by judges who
6 they can relate to, thereby increasing their
7 sense that they're getting a fair shake.

8 The judiciary and any judiciary
9 that mirrors the community that it judges is
10 vital to public confidence in the judicial
11 system as a whole, and that's why it's so
12 important that your redrawing efforts in the 7th
13 circuit with members of the near west suburbs of
14 Cook County.

15 Additionally, the growing African
16 American population of the southern suburbs of
17 Cook County warrants your considered attention.
18 Currently there are approximately 249 full
19 circuit judges in Cook County. Only 58 of them
20 are African American. The map that you redraw
21 needs to be sensitive in its understanding and
22 management of these numbers.

23 Considering the number of African
24 American litigants in Cook County, in all walks

1 of the legal landscape, increasing the number of
2 African American judges in this county will
3 serve to buoy the community's faith in the
4 judicial system.

5 Though, it's pretty obvious, our
6 voices anyway, it is critically important that
7 the map to be redrawn protect the voices of
8 those that the subcircuits were designed to
9 safeguard in the first place. My bar
10 association is calling on you to ensure that you
11 protect and, in fact, proliferate the diversity
12 of the court.

13 The subcircuits have helped to
14 make space for women, Asians, Latin and Latinx,
15 African Americans and even Republican judicial
16 candidates, and when you redraw the subcircuit
17 map, you should understand that you have the
18 opportunity to address the historical deficits
19 that have clearly made it necessary for repair.

20 Too many people have been locked
21 out of the judiciary, and you have the chance to
22 eliminate some of the antiquated barriers that
23 have historically blocked quality African
24 American judicial candidates from taking the

1 bench.

2 The social and political and
3 moral atmosphere that we now reside in calls for
4 better, and you are in the position to deliver.
5 I look forward to you doing so, and I thank you
6 for your time today.

7 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you,
8 Mr. President. I would remind members of the
9 committee to use the raise your hand function to
10 ask questions.

11 Senator McClure for a question.

12 SENATOR McCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13 I want to turn my camera on. I apologize for
14 the delay.

15 Thank you very much for your
16 important testimony, sir. So when these new
17 maps are released for these judicial districts,
18 would two weeks be enough time for you to be
19 able to review the map and then allow for you to
20 analyze it and then provide feedback?

21 MR. LAMBERT: I would ask for more time
22 because of the dynamics -- more time because of
23 the dynamics of what is at stake. It's
24 important that as many voices that can be be

1 included in this process, and two weeks is a
2 difficult thing to see -- at least from my
3 perspective, to see how you could fully
4 accomplish that objective.

5 SENATOR McCLURE: How much -- in a perfect
6 world, how much time would you like to have to
7 review?

8 MR. LAMBERT: You know, it's one of those
9 things where I'm reluctant in some sense to
10 speak on behalf of other organizations. I know
11 my organization is critically interested in
12 this, and I would think 60 to 90 days is a
13 reasonable window.

14 SENATOR McCLURE: I don't think that's
15 unreasonable particularly as you talked about
16 how important this is, and you know, if it's not
17 done correctly, it could have terrible
18 ramifications that are going to last for many,
19 many, many years.

20 MR. LAMBERT: That's correct. And we know
21 how significant the subcircuits have been. We
22 know that when they were initially created in
23 '89, '90, '91, we know where we stood relative
24 to women and Latin, Latinx and African American

1 judicial candidates in the independent
2 judiciary. So we know that the subcircuits are
3 working to a degree. We just need to make sure
4 that they continue to work and that we take
5 advantage of the opportunity to bolster the
6 support of the community at large.

7 SENATOR McCLURE: Well, thank you very
8 much for your testimony, and I think that's a
9 very reasonable request, and I think that would
10 be appropriate. Thank you.

11 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator.

12 Other questions? I see none.

13 Thank you, Mr. President, for
14 your testimony.

15 MR. LAMBERT: Thank you so much.

16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: We appreciate you being
17 here today and for your advocacy on behalf of
18 the Cook County Bar Association and the
19 communities you represent.

20 MR. LAMBERT: Thank you all.

21 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Next we have Abigail
22 Nichols on behalf of the League of Women Voters.

23 MS. NICHOLS: Do I just start talking?

24 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Yes.

1 MS. NICHOLS: Good afternoon, Senator Sims
2 and members of the Senate Redistricting
3 Committee. Thank you for holding this hearing
4 today. My name is Abigail Nichols. I am a
5 member of the League of Women Voters of Chicago,
6 and as such, I'm also a member of the League of
7 Women Voters of Illinois. I am authorized by
8 state president, Allyson Haut, to speak today on
9 behalf of the League of Women Voters of
10 Illinois.

11 Founded in 1920, the League of
12 Women Voters of Illinois is a member-driven
13 organization with 42 chapters and more than
14 3,500 members all around Illinois. Since the
15 1990s, we have advocated reforms to the state
16 redistricting process. Our recent efforts have
17 focused on an amendment to the Illinois
18 Constitution to provide for more transparent map
19 drawing process and community input through the
20 use of independent state redistricting
21 commissions.

22 The League of Women Voters of
23 Illinois has closely monitored the process that
24 the Illinois legislature has been using for the

1 drawing of the state house and senate district
2 maps this spring and summer. I'm afraid we find
3 this process lacking. It fails to ensure equity
4 and transparency.

5 For example, final bills with
6 actual data defining the maps were introduced
7 less than 24 hours before the Illinois General
8 Assembly took votes and approved maps. This
9 process did not allow an opportunity for the
10 public to study the maps or weigh in after the
11 final proposals were released, nor did this
12 process provide any response to the public by
13 the Illinois General Assembly such as explaining
14 their decisions or making needed adjustments to
15 the maps based on public input.

16 No written evidence was provided
17 to show that the final legislative maps provide
18 equity by meeting the standards of the Federal
19 Voting Rights Act and the Illinois Voting Rights
20 Act, standards which require effective
21 representation of racial and linguistic
22 minorities.

23 Now it appears that the process
24 for adopting maps for the U.S. congressional

1 district maps is following the same pattern.
2 There's no transparency and no assurance that
3 the public will be able to have meaningful input
4 prior to votes approving the congressional
5 district maps by the Illinois Assembly.

6 Illinois must adopt a
7 redistricting process that is more accessible,
8 equitable and transparent, accountable to the
9 people. Responsibility for redistricting should
10 be vested in an independent special commission.
11 The League of Women Voters of Illinois supports
12 redistricting processes and enforceable
13 standards that promote fair and effective
14 representation at all levels of government with
15 maximum opportunity for public participation.

16 Thank you for the opportunity for
17 the League of Women Voters of Illinois to
18 express its views on this process.

19 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Ms. Nichols.
20 Questions from members of the
21 committee?

22 Senator McClure.

23 SENATOR McCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 Ma'am, thank you very much for

1 your important testimony today. How much time
2 would you need between when a map is actually
3 unveiled by the committee and when you need a
4 chance to review it -- how much time would you
5 need to review any map from this committee
6 before we actually vote on the map on the floor
7 of the senate?

8 MS. NICHOLS: I'm responding now
9 personally because I'm not directed on this
10 subject, but I've been following the Chicago
11 Advisory Redistricting Commission and how
12 they've been operating, and they issued a map
13 for the wards of Chicago and had four weeks for
14 two different rounds of public input, so I like
15 that model personally.

16 SENATOR McCLURE: Yeah. I mean, that
17 certainly would be enough -- at least enough
18 time. The previous witness asked for 60 to 90
19 days. I think four weeks is reasonable. I
20 think 60 to 90 days is reasonable as well
21 considering we've had this census data for quite
22 some time now.

23 So is there anything -- so you
24 were involved a little bit in the process of the

1 last two maps that were brought before the
2 Redistricting Committee. Do you have any sense
3 that things are going to be different this
4 go-round?

5 MS. NICHOLS: No. That was our testimony,
6 that we don't have a schedule or criteria. That
7 was I hope what I wanted to convey in my
8 testimony, Senator McClure.

9 SENATOR McCLURE: And do you -- are you
10 told because we have yet to be told as to who is
11 drawing these maps -- do you have any indication
12 as to who is drawing these maps and whether or
13 not any of these committee hearings are being
14 listened to by these mysterious people that are
15 drawing these maps?

16 MS. NICHOLS: I think, perhaps, that's a
17 rhetorical question, sir.

18 SENATOR McCLURE: I think it is. Thank
19 you very much for your important testimony
20 today.

21 MS. NICHOLS: Thank you.

22 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator
23 McClure.

24 Seeing no further hands,

1 Ms. Nichols, thank you so much for your
2 testimony.

3 I'm sorry. I apologize. I see a
4 question from Senator Plummer.

5 SENATOR PLUMMER: No, I hit the wrong
6 button. Sorry about that, Senator Sims.

7 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Okay. No problem.

8 Thank you, Ms. Nichols, for your
9 important testimony. We really appreciate you
10 being here today.

11 MS. NICHOLS: Glad to be here.

12 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you.

13 I also see -- so other witnesses
14 seeking to testify, I see Frank Calabrese
15 seeking to testify. Frank, are you with us? Oh
16 there he is.

17 MR. CALABRESE: Yes. Thank you so much,
18 Senator Sims.

19 My boss, Chairman, Alderman
20 Villegas, is very interested in the 2nd
21 congressional district from Illinois, and I made
22 a small presentation on that if I may share my
23 screen.

24 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Do we have the ability

1 for Mr. Calabrese to share a screen?

2 (Inaudible)

3 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes, he should be able
4 to share his screen.

5 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: All right. Go ahead,
6 Mr. Calabrese.

7 MR. CALABRESE: All right. That's great.

8 All right. So this is just a
9 brief overview on if the committee wanted to
10 create two congressional districts in Illinois
11 that would be considered Latino. There we go.

12 So brief overview, Illinois has a
13 greater Latino population than Arizona and is
14 only surpassed by the four largest states,
15 California, Texas, Florida and New York.
16 Illinois has over 2.3 million Latinos, which is
17 18 percent of the population, and Latinos are
18 the largest minority group in Illinois.

19 As you are probably all aware,
20 Illinois currently has one congressional
21 district, the 4th, which is the majority Latino,
22 and it is -- but it's 70 percent Latino
23 districting, and it encompasses the northwest
24 and southwest Latino populations of Chicago as

1 well as some surrounding Latino suburbs like
2 Cicero. And that's been the governing
3 philosophy in Illinois for a few decades is that
4 there needs to be one overwhelmingly Latino
5 district.

6 New York has a different
7 philosophy. New York only has one Latino
8 majority district, but they have three plurality
9 Latino districts in or around New York City, and
10 they elect three Latino Congress people from
11 those three plurality districts as well.

12 This -- these are the census
13 tracts of northeast Illinois. I colored them --
14 I colored Latinos orange, so you can see where
15 Latinos are. Latinos are on the southwest side
16 of Chicago, northwest side of Chicago, areas
17 like Aurora, Elgin and Waukegan.

18 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Mr. Calabrese, I
19 don't -- we can't see that on your presentation.

20 MR. CALABRESE: Oh, you can't? I'm sorry.

21 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: No, there you go. Thank
22 you.

23 MR. CALABRESE: You can see it now?

24 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Yes.

1 MR. CALABRESE: Okay. I'll slow down.
2 Sometimes it takes awhile for the Zoom to catch
3 up.

4 So this is a census tract. These
5 are the census tracts of northeastern Illinois
6 colored by dominant demographics, and you can
7 see Latinos are in certain cities like Aurora
8 and Joliet, around O'Hare, on the northwest side
9 of Chicago and the southwest side of Chicago.

10 Zooming in -- and I included
11 congressional boundaries -- you can see that
12 Latinos are not effectively used especially on
13 the southwest side of Chicago. On the southwest
14 side of Chicago, there are very large Latino
15 areas. The majority Latino tracts are in the
16 3rd congressional district.

17 The 3rd congressional district is
18 about a third Latino by population in areas
19 around Midway, and the southwest side of Chicago
20 are not in the Latino 4th congressional
21 district, but they're in the 3rd congressional
22 district, which is not a Latino district.

23 And on the northwest side, you
24 see the 4th congressional district includes the

1 city parks of Humboldt Park and Belmont Cragin,
2 but it does not include the western Latino
3 suburbs around O'Hare, such as Franklin Park,
4 Des Plaines and Bensenville.

5 And this is zooming in on the
6 southwest side of Chicago. You can see that the
7 Latino areas effectively split. The white line
8 is the congressional line, and that the 4th
9 congressional district could pick up many more
10 Latinos in the Midway area.

11 And zooming in to the northwest
12 side of Chicago, you can see that the 4th
13 congressional district is only --

14 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Mr. Calabrese, could you
15 hold on one second?

16 MR. CALABRESE: Oh, sure.

17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: It's not -- yeah, it's
18 not zooming for me. There it is.

19 MR. CALABRESE: Okay. Sorry about that.
20 And I emailed this presentation as well.

21 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: As long as you have sent
22 this, we'll make sure that it's part of the
23 record, and it will be posted on our -- on the
24 website for us, so it is part of the record.

1 MR. CALABRESE: Thank you so much,
2 Senator.

3 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you.

4 MR. CALABRESE: And you see on the
5 northwest side of Chicago, the 4th congressional
6 district, which, again, the white lines are the
7 district lines -- the 4th congressional district
8 includes some Chicago neighborhoods, but it does
9 not include Latino areas that are around O'Hare
10 and also Addison Township, which is a Latino
11 township in DuPage County, which is the township
12 of DuPage which borders O'Hare Airport. So you
13 can see that there is some room to create a
14 Latino district exclusively on the north side,
15 on the south side.

16 And this is just a concept map.
17 I assume this will take a second to appear on
18 your screen, but I am moving to a concept map
19 which includes zero deviation of both districts,
20 of a north side Latino district and a south side
21 Latino district.

22 The south side Latino district
23 would be 67 percent Latino, which is essentially
24 the same percentage of the current 4th

1 congressional district, and the north side
2 Latino district would be 50 percent Latino.

3 Now, there is some criticism when
4 people -- when I talk to people about this,
5 well, 50 percent Latino, that is not enough.
6 Well, other states, as I mentioned New York, New
7 York has three congressional districts that are
8 represented by Latinos with Latino plurality.
9 And I will -- I can go to that in a second.

10 New York has New York 12, 13 and
11 14, which are represented by Latinos which are
12 below 50 percent. 12 is 38 percent Latino, 13
13 is 49 percent Latino, and 14 is 47 percent
14 Latino. So you do not have to have a 60 or 65
15 percent Latino district to have a Latino
16 district, especially on the north side of
17 Chicago, in my opinion.

18 And by splitting these two
19 districts apart, the south end still maintains a
20 67 percent Latino percentage which is on par
21 with what it is currently now with the 4th
22 district.

23 So this is just a brief overview
24 of what the committee could do if you wanted to

1 make a second Latino congressional district.
2 Latino population of the State of Illinois is 18
3 percent, and making an extra Latino district
4 would be on -- would be more on par with
5 proportionality of what Latinos represent for
6 the State of Illinois.

7 And so that's it for my brief
8 presentation. If anyone has any questions, I
9 would gladly answer them.

10 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Mr.
11 Calabrese, for your presentation.

12 Questions from members. Seeing
13 none, I appreciate you for being here. I thank
14 you for providing your presentation for the
15 official record.

16 MR. CALABRESE: Thank you so much.

17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you,
18 Mr. Calabrese.

19 Other participants seeking to
20 testify, public participants seeking to testify?
21 Seeing none, questions from members.

22 Senator Plummer.

23 SENATOR PLUMMER: Thank you, Senator Sims.
24 I guess a follow-up from some of the questions

1 that we had at the last hearing. Chairman
2 Aquino obviously was not able to make it today.
3 I know you're filling in for him, so I guess
4 I'll direct the questions to you.

5 Has a congressional redistricting
6 map already been drawn?

7 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Plummer, there
8 is not a congressional map that has been done.
9 As you know, this process is to -- I'd have to
10 find concerns and questions from the public so
11 that we can have a -- we can draw a map that
12 reflects the fairness and equity of our state.

13 SENATOR PLUMMER: So when you say we can
14 draw a map that represents the fairness and
15 equity of the state, who is the we in that?

16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: The we is the general
17 assembly, Senator.

18 SENATOR PLUMMER: So do you know when a
19 vote will take place on the map?

20 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: I do not, Senator.

21 SENATOR PLUMMER: Do you know when a map
22 will be available for the public to view?

23 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I will say
24 this: I do not, but I would say that our

1 friends on the other side of the aisle can
2 present and draw a map on the portal which is
3 available to the public and make that available
4 for the general public as well.

5 SENATOR PLUMMER: Right. Thank you for
6 that. I think it's good for the public to hear
7 that.

8 I guess my question was more,
9 whatever map that we anticipate voting on at
10 some point to be determined in the future, when
11 will that final map be available for the public
12 to review? Do you know that?

13 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Again, Senator Plummer,
14 I will -- again, I don't know when that will be,
15 but I would encourage my friends on the other
16 side of the aisle, if they want to participate
17 in this process, to go onto our portal, which is
18 available, which has been available and
19 participate -- and draw a map that the public
20 can see for their input in this process.

21 SENATOR PLUMMER: I appreciate that, but,
22 you know, as one of the last witnesses said
23 earlier today -- I thought this comment was very
24 generous to the committee, but they said that

1 they find the process lacking.

2 Obviously, we kind of have been
3 through the dog and pony show here for a few
4 months, and I think it's a unanimous feeling of
5 the witnesses that have been testifying that
6 their input is generally disregarded. And
7 that's a shame because this should be a very
8 public process, so I appreciate that, but, you
9 know, I think that there's a lot of people that
10 have disengaged from the process because they
11 don't feel like their voice is being heard.

12 Can you share with us, Senator
13 Sims, who will be drawing the map that we will
14 see at some point in the future? When will we
15 see that map? Who is drawing that map?

16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I will
17 stipulate that -- once again, I don't know who
18 is drawing the map, but I will stipulate that
19 the general assembly will take up a map that
20 reflects the fairness -- reflects the equity and
21 transparency, that reflects the transparent
22 process, but I would also encourage my friends
23 on the other side of the aisle, if they want to
24 participate in this process, to show a map that

1 reflects their priorities, but up until this
2 point, we have yet to see a map from our friends
3 on the other side of the aisle.

4 We have seen press conferences.
5 We have seen statements of discontent, but we
6 have not seen participation in the process. We
7 can -- you can continue to sit on the sidelines
8 and criticize, or you can participate in the
9 process and help us govern, which my friends on
10 the other side of the aisle to date have not
11 seemed willing to do.

12 SENATOR PLUMMER: I find it interesting
13 that you find reasonable questions seeking
14 transparency to be criticisms. They're not
15 criticisms. I'm just trying to better
16 understand what's going on, perhaps provide some
17 sunlight on the process.

18 As far as press conferences and
19 all these things, I think you're not referring
20 to us Republicans. I think you're referring to
21 a lot of the non --

22 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: No, I'm absolutely
23 referring to the Republicans, to our Republican
24 colleagues.

1 SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, obviously, a lot
2 of nonpartisan groups have been involved, but
3 you said there, in response to my question about
4 who would be drawing the map, you said that the
5 general assembly will be taking up a map, and
6 I'm not sure exactly what taking up a map means
7 because my question is specifically who is
8 drawing the map.

9 And you said earlier the general
10 assembly is. Well, I'm a member of the general
11 assembly, and I assure you I'm not involved in
12 drawing a map. So could you please -- I mean,
13 as the vice chairman of the committee, could you
14 please inform the public and inform the media
15 who is drawing the map?

16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Well, thank you,
17 Senator. I appreciate you confirming that our
18 Republican colleagues are not participating in
19 the process. We are asking -- we've asked you
20 from the very beginning to participate in the
21 process. I have yet to see a map be submitted
22 by the Republicans. I've yet to see on our
23 public portal a map submitted by anyone on the
24 other side of the aisle talking about their

1 priorities.

2 We have received one map
3 submission for congressional maps, and that was
4 from Mr. Calabrese today, but we have not yet
5 seen any participation on -- from the other side
6 of the aisle. But I appreciate the questions,
7 Senator, but, again, if you are going to talk
8 about the process, participate in it.

9 SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, I'm glad you
10 appreciate the questions. I just wish you would
11 start answering the questions.

12 So you said that --

13 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: I've answered them. You
14 just don't like my answer.

15 SENATOR PLUMMER: I'd like to finish.

16 You said that you're looking for
17 our priorities, and I think that that shows a
18 real disconnect maybe between the mindset of
19 some people -- and let's say it's my mindset. I
20 won't pretend to know what other Republicans are
21 thinking.

22 My priorities are transparency.
23 My priorities are fairness. My priorities are
24 making sure that the public has a say in this

1 process. My priorities are making sure the
2 public gets to review a map before a vote. My
3 priorities are making sure that the public knows
4 who is drawing the map.

5 My priorities aren't partisan.
6 My priorities aren't jamming what I want down
7 anyone else's throat. My priorities are making
8 this fair and open, and that's kind of where
9 there's a disconnect.

10 But moving on from who is drawing
11 this map that we're talking about, could you
12 share with us specifically, because I'm
13 operating on the assumption there might be some
14 overlap here -- can you share with us who drew
15 the state legislative maps that we voted on
16 previously and those other redistricting maps
17 that we reviewed earlier this year?

18 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Plummer, let me
19 say a couple of things. One, your statement
20 falls a little hollow since we have not seen a
21 map from the other side of the aisle. If you
22 are -- if your goal was really transparency, put
23 a map out there. We have yet to see one from
24 the other side of the aisle. So that criticism

1 rings hollow to me.

2 The -- what -- we are not here to
3 talk about the legislative maps which I would --
4 I would remind the senator that those maps are
5 law, so we are not here to talk about those
6 maps. We're here to talk about the
7 congressional maps and the subcircuit maps.

8 SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, I find it a bit
9 ironic being that we're the super minority. We
10 don't hold -- Republicans when I say we. We
11 don't hold any statewide offices. We're the
12 super minority in the house. We're the super
13 minority in the senate.

14 Your party can do whatever you
15 want. In fact, you did do whatever you want.
16 And yet, you haven't shown us a map. You didn't
17 show us a map until right before the vote on the
18 state legislative maps, and yet, somehow you
19 feel that the voiceless out there, whether
20 that's the Republicans or that's all these
21 nonpartisan groups, we have to show you our map,
22 but the map that we all know we're going to vote
23 on you don't have to provide us.

24 But the -- I guess you're the

1 vice chairman of the committee. Your answers
2 are oddly similar to the chairman's answers last
3 week in terms of the process, in terms of not
4 knowing who is drawing the map. I mean, if I
5 were you, I personally would be insulted being
6 the vice chairman of the committee, and you know
7 so little about the process.

8 But I guess my question is, we
9 have all of these groups appearing and providing
10 testimony. We have all these interesting
11 presentations with well-thought-out data with a
12 lot of obviously well-researched work. I'm
13 sitting here commenting. A lot of people are
14 commenting, but it's just here within the
15 committee, and the committee doesn't seem to
16 know who is drawing the map.

17 So is this just a dog and pony
18 show, or how can you ensure the public, Senator
19 Sims, that all of the content of these committee
20 hearings and all of the positive comments,
21 negative comments, all of the data, how does
22 that go into the map if you don't even know who
23 is drawing the map?

24 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, again, I will

1 say that it is ironic that you would challenge
2 the fact that we have not shown you a map when
3 my friends on the other side of the aisle, you
4 acknowledge that you're in the super minority,
5 but that does not stop you from being a
6 legislator. That does not stop you from
7 legislating.

8 And showing a map is no different
9 than introducing a bill, and members of the
10 other side -- on the other side of the aisle
11 continue to introduce legislation, but why have
12 you not -- if your goal is to participate in
13 this process, if your goal is to stand up for
14 transparency, if your goal is to show what the
15 map should look like that is fair and equitable,
16 why not present one?

17 Why not participate in the
18 process by putting a map, which is in our -- on
19 our public portal, which has been available,
20 which has been out there from day one since this
21 process has begun, why not introduce a map, put
22 a map out there so that the public can see how
23 you would do it differently? If -- again,
24 legislating is about participating, not just

1 criticizing.

2 SENATOR PLUMMER: It's not a criticism.
3 It was a question, so let's just go back to the
4 question, and no need to filibuster here.

5 If nobody knows who is drawing
6 the map, if you as the vice chairman of the
7 committee doesn't know who is drawing the map,
8 how is the content of these committees, how is
9 this information, the comments, the witness
10 testimony, the data, how is that getting -- how
11 is that information being part of the process
12 with the map drawing if we don't know who is
13 drawing the map?

14 I don't care who is submitting
15 maps, who is not submitting maps. My question
16 is, how does the important testimony from the
17 witnesses get into the process if you don't know
18 who is drawing the map?

19 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Again, I find it ironic
20 that my friend is telling me how to feel.
21 That's ironic to me. But here is the point.
22 The point is this, Senator, we have yet to see a
23 map from the other side of the aisle. We have
24 yet to see any meaningful participation from the

1 other side of the aisle. Maybe it is you
2 setting up for a litigation strategy. I don't
3 know.

4 But what we will do is continue
5 to listen to groups. We will continue to take
6 input. We will continue to have the map that
7 reflects the great diversity of our state in a
8 fair and equitable process. If you wish to
9 participate with us, we welcome you.

10 SENATOR PLUMMER: Okay. So when you take
11 that testimony and you take that information
12 that you just talked about you're going to
13 continue to do that, when you take it, how do we
14 know what you do with it? How does it get into
15 the map conversation?

16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, the process
17 that we are going to engage in -- and I will
18 walk through the upcoming numerous hearings that
19 we will have throughout the state, both in
20 person and virtually, that members of the public
21 can participate, and I would hope that my
22 friends on the other side of the aisle would not
23 just attend, but would meaningfully participate
24 in.

1 SENATOR PLUMMER: Great. So at the end of
2 all of this, how does all this information get
3 imprinted into a map if you don't know who is
4 drawing the map? All this information is
5 coming. That's great. You say that we're not
6 engaged. I'm on every one of these things, even
7 when they start (inaudible). I'm in every one.
8 I sit here. I listen. I take notes.

9 My question is -- you know, I
10 don't think we anticipate every single person to
11 testify is going to submit a map. They're
12 welcome to, I suppose, if the portal actually
13 works. My question is, how does that
14 information go from the committee to the map if
15 the committee chairman and vice-chairman doesn't
16 know who is drawing the map?

17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I would ask --
18 you just mentioned that you take these copious
19 notes. What happens with your notes? Where do
20 they go when you get done taking the notes that
21 you hear because we have not seen a map from the
22 other side of the aisle. If you are taking
23 these notes, what happens with those notes
24 because they certainly aren't going into a map

1 that we've seen.

2 SENATOR PLUMMER: Yeah, I save all the
3 notes. I take all the commentary, and then,
4 when a map is presented, I compare the map to
5 the notes I've taken from all the witnesses to
6 make sure that what the public desires is in the
7 map.

8 And now, we all know that didn't
9 happen in the state legislative process and some
10 of these other processes because those maps
11 don't represent what the witnesses want, and
12 that's what I'm concerned about. So now we're
13 on the congressional map; right? So I'm going
14 to make sure according to my notes that the map
15 that is dropped on our lap represents what the
16 public wants.

17 You know or someone knows --
18 someone has to know who is drawing the map;
19 right? So my question is, how does this
20 information get into the map?

21 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, we will
22 certainly look forward to you submitting those
23 notes into a map to this committee because if --
24 that is part of the legislative process.

1 Legislating requires that both -- that you
2 participate in the process. We will accept your
3 map submissions from any platforms in any way,
4 shape or form, but until now, we have not --
5 Senator, may I finish, please?

6 SENATOR PLUMMER: Yeah, sure.

7 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: But up until now, we
8 have yet to see anything from the other side of
9 the aisle, and again, I don't know if this is a
10 litigation strategy. I don't know what the plan
11 is. All I know is that right now we have a duty
12 to respond based on our responsibility. We have
13 a legal duty to draw maps based on the decennial
14 census, which we are doing.

15 And again, I keep saying to my
16 friends on the other side of the aisle, submit a
17 map. Submit your map to the committee for
18 discussion and inclusion in the process.

19 SENATOR PLUMMER: So I just heard you say
20 that you'll accept maps in any format and
21 through the portal and everything else, so just
22 for clarification for the witnesses, because a
23 lot of the witnesses in these hearings have been
24 similar or the same witnesses from the hearings

1 we had in the spring on the state legislative
2 maps, so is the portal process different now?

3 Does the portal actually work
4 this time unlike in the spring, so that, if
5 everyone takes time to do these maps, will they
6 actually be submitted because I believe that
7 there weren't any maps submitted through the
8 portal in the spring. So I just want to make
9 sure the portal works now. Is that correct?

10 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I'm glad you
11 asked that question because, if someone wants to
12 submit testimony or map, they can email them to
13 us at redistrictingcommittee@senatedem.ilga.gov.
14 Let me say that a second time. You can submit
15 testimony or maps. It can be emailed to
16 redistrictingcommittee@senatedem.ilga.gov.

17 SENATOR PLUMMER: So -- that's great. So
18 I have two questions left I think. One of them
19 should be pretty brief, but just so we're all on
20 the same page here, Senator Sims, you do not
21 know if a map has been drawn yet, and you do not
22 know who is drawing the map that will be
23 submitted for the general assembly to vote; is
24 that correct?

1 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: I have said -- again,
2 Senator Plummer, I have -- you have asked that
3 question multiple times. I have answered that
4 question multiple times. You asked the question
5 last week of Chair Aquino. He answered the
6 question, so let's move on to your next one.

7 SENATOR PLUMMER: Okay. So real quickly,
8 so what was the answer then?

9 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Let's move on to your
10 next question, Senator.

11 SENATOR PLUMMER: I haven't heard an
12 answer, so I just want to be clear. What is the
13 answer then if it's been answered so many times?

14 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I've answered
15 it previously in this meeting. We are going
16 around and around in circles. Please ask your
17 next question, Senator.

18 SENATOR PLUMMER: So, yeah, we'll just
19 continue to go around in circles if the
20 questions aren't going to be answered.

21 So I guess my next question would
22 then be a witness earlier testified that they
23 thought four weeks was a reasonable amount of
24 time to review a map between submittal and vote

1 by the general assembly. Someone else I think
2 said six to nine weeks or something like that --
3 or, 60 to 90 days. I'm sorry. I have heard
4 regularly in other hearings from witnesses
5 across the spectrum that they thought at least
6 two weeks was reasonable.

7 Can we get a commitment from you,
8 Mr. Vice-Chairman, or a commitment from our
9 supermajority party that the public will have a
10 period of time of at least two weeks between
11 when a map is dropped on the general assembly
12 and when the general assembly votes on it so
13 that there is time for public input, for public
14 review and for the public to share their
15 thoughts with everyone in the general assembly?

16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Plummer, I would
17 welcome you or anyone on your side of the aisle
18 to submit a map to the committee that will give
19 individuals, including the public and the
20 members of the general assembly, the opportunity
21 to review them and give them time to clearly
22 evaluate them.

23 SENATOR PLUMMER: Okay. The map I asked
24 about is the map that's going to be voted on.

1 When that map -- for the final vote, when that
2 map is submitted, right, after all of this
3 information is supposedly taken and printed in
4 this map, when that map that we're going to vote
5 on is submitted, will there be at least a
6 two-week period of time, as everyone has asked
7 for, for public review, public input and for
8 transparency's sake between the submittal and
9 the vote?

10 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Plummer, I would
11 welcome you or anyone on your side of the aisle
12 to submit a map that would give the public and
13 the general assembly time to review it and to
14 evaluate it.

15 SENATOR PLUMMER: Okay. Can you answer my
16 question now, please?

17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I've answered
18 your question.

19 SENATOR PLUMMER: So how long between
20 submittal of the final map and the vote will
21 there be?

22 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I have answered
23 your question. You are welcome to submit a map
24 and to give us ample time to evaluate it.

10/12/2021

Page 44

1 SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, I appreciate -- I
2 appreciate it, Senator Sims. Thanks for your
3 time. I'm taking your nonanswer as a big no,
4 but we'll have to wait and see I suppose.

5 I think that I would just like to
6 say to the witnesses in all of this and the
7 witnesses that have taken time in the past I
8 apologize to them for the complete disdain that
9 the majority party holds them in. It is
10 absolutely shameful, the lack of transparency,
11 the refusal to answer basic questions, the
12 ignoring the input of witnesses.

13 This is shocking. It's -- I
14 would frankly be embarrassed to be sitting in
15 your shoes right now, Senator Sims, and refusing
16 to provide transparency to the people of
17 Illinois.

18 Thank you.

19 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you for your
20 hollow last words. Thank you.

21 Senator McClure.

22 SENATOR McCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

23 Okay. So we don't know -- excuse
24 me. We don't know when we're going to get the

1 map, but I guess -- you know, I think it would
2 be reasonable to set some sort of a timeline for
3 whomever is drafting this to give the public two
4 weeks at least. We don't have a commitment for
5 that today. I understand that.

6 I guess my question is, why is
7 there no deadline for the final map to be put
8 into committee the same way there is, for
9 example, when we're going to vote on a map on
10 the floor in veto session because to me I think
11 that, you know, no matter what a person's
12 position is on the map, I do think it's fair to
13 give these groups at least two weeks to be able
14 to review the map.

15 And you know, whether there's two
16 weeks or not or whether you decide to comment as
17 to why this was drawn there or whatever is kind
18 of irrelevant. I feel that the public is not --
19 does not feel like they are able to participate
20 unless they can comment on the actual map, and I
21 don't feel that a few hours or a day before is
22 going to give them enough time.

23 So this is a legitimate question,
24 Mr. Chairman. I mean, why do we not give at

1 least two weeks' notice for these people to be
2 able to testify? And if you want to respond to
3 things back and forth, you can or not. It
4 doesn't really matter. I just feel like they're
5 not going to have their say unless we listen to
6 them with at least two weeks' notice.

7 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator McClure, the
8 veto session calendar was presented to all
9 members of the general assembly and the public
10 on May 31. So the dates for the general -- the
11 general assembly's fall veto session have been
12 public for months. We are scheduled to be in
13 Springfield for those scheduled days, and that
14 is when we will plan to -- we will plan to take
15 this map up.

16 SENATOR McCLURE: Okay. No, I get that,
17 but I just don't understand why you wouldn't
18 show a map at least two weeks out so that people
19 can comment on it. Now, you may take the
20 comments. You may not take the comments, but
21 just allowing the public to comment when people
22 have taken so much time to just participate and
23 take time out of their workday or whatever.

24 I don't think it's unreasonable

1 to ask for two weeks for them to get the chance
2 to review the maps and then have a final say,
3 and then we'll go on the floor. And we all know
4 you have a supermajority. You guys are going to
5 vote, and the maps are going to be whatever you
6 guys want them to be anyway.

7 But I don't see what you're
8 losing here by just allowing for more public
9 discussion. And you know something,
10 Mr. Chairman, maybe someone will make a comment
11 that might just make you say, you know
12 something, that's a valid point, and we're going
13 to make a few changes.

14 But it's just not helpful to
15 anybody, us included, when we don't have a map
16 to kind of work with to see where your heads are
17 at and what you're thinking and to give
18 legitimate criticisms and feedback and
19 everything else. I don't see why it's an issue
20 to give the maps two weeks' notice. I really
21 don't.

22 And I just -- my question is, why
23 can we not have a promise that or a goal of
24 having the maps done two weeks -- with at least

1 two weeks' notice for the folks that are on
2 this -- in this hearing to review? I just don't
3 think it's unreasonable. I suspect you don't
4 think it's unreasonable either, but my question
5 is why. Why are we not going to see these maps
6 at least two weeks out?

7 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I appreciate
8 the comment which is why throughout this hearing
9 and previously we have encouraged our friends on
10 the other side of the aisle to submit maps so
11 that we have the opportunity to understand what
12 the suggestions are and what the recommendations
13 are. Yet, we have yet to see that map.

14 We have yet to see any proposals
15 coming from the other side of the aisle that
16 would demonstrate to us that they are
17 participating in the process or have meaningful
18 recommendations for the process.

19 We still have three hearings left
20 of this committee. We are looking for input
21 from maps similar to what Mr. Calabrese
22 provided. If our friends on the other side of
23 the aisle wish to meaningfully participate,
24 please do so. We continue to ask for

1 participation from our friends on the other side
2 of the aisle, but we are -- we have yet to
3 receive that participation.

4 SENATOR McCLURE: Well, I don't want to go
5 back and forth with you, Mr. Chairman. I think
6 you and Senator Plummer had a good discussion
7 over this, but I just -- I'm disappointed.

8 You know as well as I do,
9 Mr. Chairman, you guys have supermajorities in
10 both houses. And I think you know as well we
11 are willing to work with you where we can have
12 bipartisan agreement.

13 I was just at a groundbreaking
14 just a couple hours ago with the governor and
15 with members of the Democratic caucus on the
16 Rebuilding Illinois projects that we're excited
17 to be a part of, but the fact that in this
18 process you have totally shut us down and then
19 have this sort of phony, oh, my goodness,
20 please, we just can't wait to see your maps so
21 we can throw them right in the dumpsters behind
22 the Capitol.

23 You want to talk about hollow.
24 That's hollow. And we want to work with you,

1 Mr. Chairman. As you pointed out, there's three
2 more hearings, but I think the public deserves
3 to get to take a look at these maps. Now, you
4 may not think that we deserve to because we're
5 not Democrats, we're Republicans, but the public
6 deserves to see these maps at least two weeks
7 before we vote on them.

8 And the fact that they can't and
9 that you will not guarantee that, despite the
10 fact that it would not hurt you politically at
11 all, you can still pass whatever maps that you
12 guys want to. It does not change the majorities
13 of both houses. The fact that you guys just
14 won't do that for the public, for these people
15 that have taken the time to listen and be here
16 and present information, it makes me sad for
17 them because they deserve better out of the
18 their government, and it's just -- it's a real
19 shame.

20 And I'm very disappointed,
21 Mr. Chairman. I hope that in the next committee
22 we will see a map from you all, and I hope that
23 you really would like to have us a part of the
24 process because we would like to be a part of

1 the process, but unfortunately, we have been
2 shut out just like our constituents and your
3 constituents and all these people that have
4 testified here in these hearings.

5 And it's just -- it's a real
6 shame. It's bad for government, and I hope that
7 we can do better at the next hearing, and I hope
8 that we can do better for the citizens of this
9 state.

10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

11 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator.

12 And I will say this: We can't
13 shut you down when you don't participate. We
14 can't shut you down if you have not spoken up.
15 We've been asking for participation. We have
16 yet to get it. We've been asking for
17 partnership. We have yet to get it.

18 We will continue. This process
19 will continue. We will continue to ask, and we
20 will be anxiously waiting with bated breath for
21 a map from the Republican side of the aisle. I
22 don't believe we'll see it, but we'll continue
23 to wait for it. But to say that you've
24 participated or attempted to participate when

1 you have not even done something as basic as
2 submit a map so that we could have a discussion
3 about, that's not participation.

4 So as a reminder, if you want to
5 view the 2020 census numbers, draw a community
6 of interest or district, please go to our
7 website at ilsenateredistricting.com.

8 If you have drawn a map using
9 another mapping platform and would like to share
10 it with the committee, please email it to
11 redistrictingcommittee@senatedem.ilga.gov. If
12 you want to submit it -- and I'll remind
13 individuals again, if you want to submit
14 additional testimony or maps, please email them
15 to redistrictingcommittee@senatedem.ilga.gov.

16 Another reminder, the Senate
17 Redistricting Committee has scheduled the
18 following future hearings:

19 On Wednesday, October 13 at 4:00
20 p.m. at Elgin Community College, the Seigle
21 Auditorium at Building E, 1700 Spartan Drive in
22 Elgin. It will be a virtual hearing, so
23 participants may testify at the hearing location
24 or participate via Zoom.

1 The subject matter will be
2 congressional boundaries, current subcircuits in
3 Will and Kane Counties and a potential creation
4 of subcircuits in counties with a population of
5 more than 150,000 people.

6 On Thursday, October 14th at
7 12:00 p.m. at the Illinois State Capitol, Room
8 409, Springfield, there will be a virtual
9 hearing. Participants may testify at the
10 hearing location or participate via Zoom.

11
12 Again, the subject matter will be
13 congressional boundaries and the potential
14 creation of subcircuits in counties with a
15 population of more than 150,000 people.

16 Then, on Friday, October 15th at
17 Dunn-Richmond Economic Center, Conference Room
18 241, at Southern Illinois University at
19 Carbondale, 1740 Innovation Drive in Carbondale,
20 there will be a virtual hearing, so participants
21 may testify at the hearing or participate via
22 Zoom.

23 The subject matter will be
24 congressional boundaries and the potential

1 creation of subcircuits in counties with
2 populations of more than 150,000 people.

3 Seeing no further business to
4 come before the committee, this committee of the
5 Senate Redistricting Committee stands adjourned.

6 Thanks everyone.

7 (Meeting adjourned at 3:35 p.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10/12/2021

Page 55

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2
3 I, Shari L. Szerbat, a Registered
4 Professional Reporter doing business in the
5 State of Illinois, do hereby certify that I
6 reported in shorthand the proceedings of said
7 hearing as appears from my stenographic notes so
8 taken and transcribed under my direction.

9 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
10 set my hand this 20th day of October 2021.

11 

12
13 _____
14 Shari L. Szerbat
15 No. 24037

<hr/> 1 <hr/>	47 23:13 49 23:13 4:00 52:19 4th 18:21 20:20,24 21:8,12 22:5, 7,24 23:21	acknowledge 34:4 Act 13:19,20 actual 13:6 45:20 Addison 22:10 additional 52:14 adjourned 54:5,7 adjustments 13:14 adopt 14:6 adopting 13:24 Advisory 15:11 advocated 12:15 afraid 13:2 afternoon 12:1 agreement 49:12 ahead 18:5 Airport 22:12 aisle 26:1,16 27:23 28:3,10 29:24 30:6 31:21,24 34:3,10 35:23 36:1,22 37:22 39:9,16 42:17 43:11 48:10, 15,23 49:2 51:21 Alderman 17:19 allowing	46:21 47:8 Allyson 12:8 amendment 12:17 amount 41:23 ample 43:24 answering 30:11 answers 33:1, 2 anticipate 26:9 37:10 anxiously 51:20 apologize 17:3 44:8 appearing 33:9 appears 13:23 approved 13:8 approving 14:4 Aquino 25:2 41:5 area 21:10 areas 19:16 20:15,18 21:7 22:9 Arizona 18:13 assembly 13:8,13 14:5 25:17 27:19 29:5,10,11 40:23 42:1, 11,12,15,20 43:13 46:9 assembly's 46:11	assume 22:17 assumption 31:13 assurance 14:2 assure 29:11 attempted 51:24 attend 36:23 Auditorium 52:21 Aurora 19:17 20:7 authorized 12:7 aware 18:19 awhile 20:2
<hr/> 2 <hr/>	<hr/> 5 <hr/> 50 23:2,5,12			
<hr/> 2.3 18:16 2020 52:5 24 13:7 241 53:18 2nd 17:20	<hr/> 6 <hr/> 60 15:18,20 23:14 42:3 65 23:14 67 22:23 23:20			
<hr/> 3 <hr/>	<hr/> 7 <hr/> 70 18:22			
<hr/> 3,500 12:14 31 46:10 38 23:12 3:35 54:7 3rd 20:16,17, 21	<hr/> 9 <hr/> 90 15:18,20 42:3			
<hr/> 4 <hr/>	<hr/> A <hr/>			
409 53:8 42 12:13	Abigail 12:4 ability 17:24 absolutely 28:22 44:10 accept 39:2,20 accessible 14:7 accountable 14:8		<hr/> B <hr/> back 35:3 46:3 49:5 bad 51:6 based 13:15 39:12,13 basic 44:11 52:1 bated 51:20 beginning 29:20 begun 34:21 behalf 12:9 Belmont 21:1 Bensenville 21:4 big 44:3 bill 34:9 bills 13:5 bipartisan 49:12	

bit 15:24 32:8	25:1 29:13	commentary	confirming	create 18:10
borders 22:12	33:1,6 35:6	38:3	29:17	22:13
boss 17:19	37:15 44:22	commenting	Congress	creation 53:3,
boundaries	45:24 47:10	33:13,14	19:10	14 54:1
20:11 53:2,	49:5,9 50:1,	comments	congressional	criteria 16:6
13,24	21 51:10	33:20,21 35:9	13:24 14:4	criticism 23:3
breath 51:20	chairman's	46:20	17:21 18:10,	31:24 35:2
brought 16:1	33:2	commission	20 20:11,16,	criticisms
Building	challenge 34:1	14:10 15:11	17,20,21,24	28:14,15
52:21	chance 15:4	commissions	21:8,9,13	47:18
business 54:3	47:1	12:21	22:5,7 23:1,7	criticize 28:8
button 17:6	change 50:12	commitment	24:1 25:5,8	criticizing
	chapters	42:7,8 45:4	30:3 32:7	35:1
	12:13	committee	38:13 53:2,	current 22:24
	Chicago 12:5	12:3 14:21	13,24	53:2
	15:10,13	15:3,5 16:2,	considered	
Calabrese	18:24 19:16	13 18:9 23:24	18:11	
17:14,17	20:9,13,14,19	26:24 29:13	constituents	
18:1,6,7	21:6,12 22:5,	33:1,6,15,19	51:2,3	data 13:6
19:18,20,23	8 23:17	35:7 37:14,15	Constitution	15:21 33:11,
20:1 21:14,	Cicero 19:2	38:23 39:17	12:18	21 35:10
16,19 22:1,4	circles 41:16,	42:18 45:8	content 33:19	date 28:10
24:11,16,18	19	48:20 50:21	35:8	dates 46:10
30:4 48:21	cities 20:7	52:10,17	continue 28:7	day 34:20
calendar 46:8	citizens 51:8	54:4,5	34:11 36:4,5,	45:21
California	city 19:9 21:1	committees	6,13 41:19	days 15:19,20
18:15	clarification	35:8	48:24 51:18,	42:3 46:13
Capitol 49:22	39:22	community	19,22	deadline 45:7
53:7	clear 41:12	12:19 52:5,20	conversation	decades 19:3
Carbondale	closely 12:23	compare 38:4	36:15	decennial
53:19	colleagues	complete 44:8	convey 16:7	39:13
care 35:14	28:24 29:18	concept	copious 37:18	decide 45:16
catch 20:2	College 52:20	22:16,18	correct 40:9,	decisions
caucus 49:15	colored 19:13,	concerned	24	13:14
census 15:21	14 20:6	38:12	counties 53:3,	defining 13:6
19:12 20:4,5	comment	concerns	4,14 54:1	Democratic
39:14 52:5	26:23 45:16,	25:10	County 22:11	49:15
Center 53:17	20 46:19,21	Conference	couple 31:19	Democrats
Chair 41:5	47:10 48:8	53:17	49:14	50:5
chairman		conferences	Cragin 21:1	
14:23 17:19		28:4,18		

demographics 20:6	24:1,3 52:6	duty 39:11,13	equity 13:3,18 25:12,15 27:20	feeling 27:4
demonstrate 48:16	districting 18:23	<hr/>	essentially 22:23	filibuster 35:4
Des 21:4	districts 18:10 19:9,11 22:19 23:7,19	E <hr/>	evaluate 42:22 43:14, 24	filling 25:3
deserve 50:4, 17	diversity 36:7	earlier 26:23 29:9 31:17 41:22	evidence 13:16	final 13:5,11, 17 26:11 43:1,20 45:7 47:2
deserves 50:2, 6	dog 27:3 33:17	Economic 53:17	excited 49:16	find 13:2 25:10 27:1 28:12,13 32:8 35:19
desires 38:6	dominant 20:6	effective 13:20 14:13	exclusively 22:14	finish 30:15 39:5
determined 26:10	drafting 45:3	effectively 20:12 21:7	excuse 44:23	floor 15:6 45:10 47:3
deviation 22:19	draw 25:11,14 26:2,19 39:13 52:5	efforts 12:16	explaining 13:13	Florida 18:15
differently 34:23	drawing 12:19 13:1 16:11,12,15 27:13,15,18 29:4,8,12,15 31:4,10 33:4, 16,23 35:5,7, 12,13,18 37:4,16 38:18 40:22	elect 19:10	express 14:18	focused 12:17
direct 25:4	drawn 25:6 40:21 45:17 52:8	Elgin 19:17 52:20,22	extra 24:3	folks 48:1
directed 15:9	drew 31:14	else's 31:7	<hr/>	follow-up 24:24
disappointed 49:7 50:20	Drive 52:21 53:19	email 40:12 52:10,14	F <hr/>	form 39:4
disconnect 30:18 31:9	dropped 38:15 42:11	emailed 21:20 40:15	fact 32:15 34:2 49:17 50:8,10,13	format 39:20
discontent 28:5	dumpsters 49:21	embarrassed 44:14	fails 13:3	forward 38:22
discussion 39:18 47:9 49:6 52:2	Dunn- richmond 53:17	encompasses 18:23	fair 14:13 31:8 34:15 36:8 45:12	Founded 12:11
disdain 44:8	Dupage 22:11,12	encourage 26:15 27:22	fairness 25:12,14 27:20 30:23	Frank 17:14, 15
disengaged 27:10		encouraged 48:9	fall 46:11	Franklin 21:3
disregarded 27:6		end 23:19 37:1	falls 31:20	frankly 44:14
district 13:1 14:1,5 17:21 18:21 19:5,8 20:16,17,21, 22,24 21:9,13 22:6,7,14,20, 21,22 23:1,2, 15,16,22		enforceable 14:12	Federal 13:18	Friday 53:16
		engage 36:17	feedback 47:18	friend 35:20
		engaged 37:6	feel 27:11 32:19 35:20 45:18,19,21 46:4	friends 26:1, 15 27:22 28:2,9 34:3 36:22 39:16 48:9,22 49:1
		ensure 13:3 33:18		future 26:10 27:14 52:18
		equitable 14:8 34:15 36:8		

<hr/> G <hr/>	<p>groundbreaki ng 49:13</p> <p>group 18:18</p> <p>groups 29:2 32:21 33:9 36:5 45:13</p> <p>guarantee 50:9</p> <p>guess 24:24 25:3 26:8 32:24 33:8 41:21 45:1,6</p> <p>guys 47:4,6 49:9 50:12,13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>hands 16:24</p> <p>happen 38:9</p> <p>Haut 12:8</p> <p>heads 47:16</p> <p>hear 26:6 37:21</p> <p>heard 27:11 39:19 41:11 42:3</p> <p>hearing 12:3 25:1 48:2,8 51:7 52:22,23 53:9,10,20,21</p> <p>hearings 16:13 33:20 36:18 39:23, 24 42:4 48:19 50:2 51:4 52:18</p> <p>helpful 47:14</p> <p>hit 17:5</p> <p>hold 21:15 32:10,11</p>	<p>holding 12:3</p> <p>holds 44:9</p> <p>hollow 31:20 32:1 44:20 49:23,24</p> <p>hope 16:7 36:21 50:21, 22 51:6,7</p> <p>hours 13:7 45:21 49:14</p> <p>house 13:1 32:12</p> <p>houses 49:10 50:13</p> <p>Humboldt 21:1</p> <p>hurt 50:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <hr/> <p>ignoring 44:12</p> <p>Illinois 12:7, 10,12,14,17, 23,24 13:7, 13,19 14:5,6, 11,17 17:21 18:10,12,16, 18,20 19:3,13 20:5 24:2,6 44:17 49:16 53:7,18</p> <p>ilsenateredistr icting.com. 52:7</p> <p>important 15:1 16:19 17:9 35:16</p> <p>imprinted 37:3</p>	<p>inaudible 18:2 37:7</p> <p>include 21:2 22:9</p> <p>included 20:10 47:15</p> <p>includes 20:24 22:8,19</p> <p>including 42:19</p> <p>inclusion 39:18</p> <p>independent 12:20 14:10</p> <p>indication 16:11</p> <p>individuals 42:19 52:13</p> <p>inform 29:14</p> <p>information 35:9,11 36:11 37:2,4,14 38:20 43:3 50:16</p> <p>Innovation 53:19</p> <p>input 12:19 13:15 14:3 15:14 26:20 27:6 36:6 42:13 43:7 44:12 48:20</p> <p>insulted 33:5</p> <p>interest 52:6</p> <p>interested 17:20</p> <p>interesting 28:12 33:10</p> <p>introduce 34:11,21</p>	<p>introduced 13:6</p> <p>introducing 34:9</p> <p>involved 15:24 29:2,11</p> <p>ironic 32:9 34:1 35:19,21</p> <p>irrelevant 45:18</p> <p>issue 47:19</p> <p>issued 15:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <hr/> <p>jamming 31:6</p> <p>Joliet 20:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <hr/> <p>Kane 53:3</p> <p>kind 27:2 31:8 45:17 47:16</p> <p>knowing 33:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <hr/> <p>lack 44:10</p> <p>lacking 13:3 27:1</p> <p>lap 38:15</p> <p>large 20:14</p> <p>largest 18:14, 18</p> <p>Latino 18:11, 13,21,22,24 19:1,4,7,9,10 20:14,15,18, 20,22 21:2,7 22:9,10,14, 20,21,22,23</p>
----------------------	--	--	---	---

23:2,5,8,12, 13,14,15,20 24:1,2,3 Latinos 18:16, 17 19:14,15 20:7,12 21:10 23:8,11 24:5 law 32:5 League 12:5, 6,9,11,22 14:11,17 left 40:18 48:19 legal 39:13 legislating 34:7,24 39:1 legislation 34:11 legislative 13:17 31:15 32:3,18 38:9, 24 40:1 legislator 34:6 legislature 12:24 legitimate 45:23 47:18 levels 14:14 lines 22:6,7 linguistic 13:21 listen 36:5 37:8 46:5 50:15 listened 16:14 litigation 36:2 39:10 location 52:23 53:10	long 21:21 43:19 losing 47:8 lot 27:9 28:21 29:1 33:12,13 39:23 <hr/> M <hr/> made 17:21 maintains 23:19 majorities 50:12 majority 18:21 19:8 20:15 44:9 make 21:22 24:1 25:2 26:3 38:6,14 40:8 47:10, 11,13 makes 50:16 making 13:14 24:3 30:24 31:1,3,7 map 12:18 15:2,5,6,12 22:16,18 25:6,8,11,14, 19,21 26:2,9, 11,19 27:13, 15,18,19,24 28:2 29:4,5,6, 8,12,15,21,23 30:2 31:2,4, 11,21,23 32:16,17,21, 22 33:4,16, 22,23 34:2,8, 15,18,21,22	35:6,7,12,13, 18,23 36:6,15 37:3,4,11,14, 16,21,24 38:4,7,13,14, 18,20,23 39:3,17 40:12,21,22 41:24 42:11, 18,23,24 43:1,2,4,12, 20,23 45:1,7, 9,12,14,20 46:15,18 47:15 48:13 50:22 51:21 52:2,8 mapping 52:9 maps 13:2,6, 8,10,15,17,24 14:1,5 16:1, 11,12,15 30:3 31:15,16 32:3,4,6,7,18 35:15 38:10 39:13,20 40:2,5,7,15 47:2,5,20,24 48:5,10,21 49:20 50:3,6, 11 52:14 matter 45:11 46:4 53:1,12, 23 maximum 14:15 McClure 14:22,23 15:16 16:8,9, 18,23 44:21, 22 46:7,16 49:4	meaningful 14:3 35:24 48:17 meaningfully 36:23 48:23 means 29:6 media 29:14 meeting 13:18 41:15 54:7 member 12:5, 6 29:10 member- driven 12:12 members 12:2,14 14:20 24:12,21 34:9 36:20 42:20 46:9 49:15 mentioned 23:6 37:18 Midway 20:19 21:10 million 18:16 mindset 30:18,19 minorities 13:22 minority 18:18 32:9, 12,13 34:4 model 15:15 monitored 12:23 months 27:4 46:12 move 41:6,9 moving 22:18 31:10 multiple 41:3, 4	mysterious 16:14 <hr/> N <hr/> needed 13:14 negative 33:21 neighborhood s 22:8 Nichols 12:1,4 14:19 15:8 16:5,16,21 17:1,8,11 nonanswer 44:3 nonpartisan 29:2 32:21 north 22:14, 20 23:1,16 northeast 19:13 northeastern 20:5 northwest 18:23 19:16 20:8,23 21:11 22:5 notes 37:8,19, 20,23 38:3,5, 14,23 notice 46:1,6 47:20 48:1 numbers 52:5 numerous 36:18 <hr/> O <hr/> O'HARE 20:8 21:3 22:9,12
--	--	---	---	---

<p>October 52:19 53:6,16</p> <p>oddy 33:2</p> <p>offices 32:11</p> <p>official 24:15</p> <p>open 31:8</p> <p>operating 15:12 31:13</p> <p>opinion 23:17</p> <p>opportunity 13:9 14:15,16 42:20 48:11</p> <p>orange 19:14</p> <p>organization 12:13</p> <p>overlap 31:14</p> <p>overview 18:9,12 23:23</p> <p>overwhelmingly 19:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>p.m. 52:20 53:7 54:7</p> <p>par 23:20 24:4</p> <p>Park 21:1,3</p> <p>parks 21:1</p> <p>part 21:22,24 35:11 38:24 49:17 50:23, 24</p> <p>participants 24:19,20 52:23 53:9,20</p> <p>participate 26:16,19 27:24 28:8 29:20 30:8</p>	<p>34:12,17 36:9,21,23 39:2 45:19 46:22 48:23 51:13,24 52:24 53:10, 21</p> <p>participated 51:24</p> <p>participating 29:18 34:24 48:17</p> <p>participation 14:15 28:6 30:5 35:24 49:1,3 51:15 52:3</p> <p>partisan 31:5</p> <p>partnership 51:17</p> <p>party 32:14 42:9 44:9</p> <p>pass 50:11</p> <p>past 44:7</p> <p>pattern 14:1</p> <p>people 14:9 16:14 19:10 23:4 27:9 30:19 33:13 44:16 46:1, 18,21 50:14 51:3 53:5,15 54:2</p> <p>percent 18:17, 22 22:23 23:2,5,12,13, 15,20 24:3</p> <p>percentage 22:24 23:20</p> <p>period 42:10 43:6</p>	<p>person 36:20 37:10</p> <p>person's 45:11</p> <p>personally 15:9,15 33:5</p> <p>philosophy 19:3,7</p> <p>phony 49:19</p> <p>pick 21:9</p> <p>place 25:19</p> <p>Plaines 21:4</p> <p>plan 39:10 46:14</p> <p>platform 52:9</p> <p>platforms 39:3</p> <p>Plummer 17:4,5 24:22, 23 25:7,13, 18,21 26:5, 13,21 28:12 29:1 30:9,15 31:18 32:8 35:2 36:10 37:1 38:2 39:6,19 40:17 41:2,7,11,18 42:16,23 43:10,15,19 44:1 49:6</p> <p>plurality 19:8, 11 23:8</p> <p>point 26:10 27:14 28:2 35:21,22 47:12</p> <p>pointed 50:1</p> <p>politically 50:10</p>	<p>pony 27:3 33:17</p> <p>population 18:13,17 20:18 24:2 53:4,15</p> <p>populations 18:24 54:2</p> <p>portal 26:2,17 29:23 34:19 37:12 39:21 40:2,3,8,9</p> <p>position 45:12</p> <p>positive 33:20</p> <p>posted 21:23</p> <p>potential 53:3,13,24</p> <p>present 26:2 34:16 50:16</p> <p>presentation 17:22 19:19 21:20 24:8, 11,14</p> <p>presentations 33:11</p> <p>presented 38:4 46:8</p> <p>president 12:8</p> <p>press 28:4,18</p> <p>pretend 30:20</p> <p>pretty 40:19</p> <p>previous 15:18</p> <p>previously 31:16 41:15 48:9</p> <p>printed 43:3</p> <p>prior 14:4</p> <p>priorities 28:1 30:1,17,22,23</p>	<p>31:1,3,5,6,7</p> <p>problem 17:7</p> <p>process 12:16, 19,23 13:3,9, 12,23 14:7,18 15:24 25:9 26:17,20 27:1,8,10,22, 24 28:6,9,17 29:19,21 30:8 31:1 33:3,7 34:13,18,21 35:11,17 36:8,16 38:9, 24 39:2,18 40:2 48:17,18 49:18 50:24 51:1,18</p> <p>processes 14:12 38:10</p> <p>projects 49:16</p> <p>promise 47:23</p> <p>promote 14:13</p> <p>proportionalit y 24:5</p> <p>proposals 13:11 48:14</p> <p>provide 12:18 13:12,17 28:16 32:23 44:16</p> <p>provided 13:16 48:22</p> <p>providing 24:14 33:9</p> <p>public 13:10, 12,15 14:3,15 15:14 24:20 25:10,22 26:3,4,6,11,</p>
---	---	--	--	--

<p>19 27:8 29:14,23 30:24 31:2,3 33:18 34:19, 22 36:20 38:6,16 42:9, 13,14,19 43:7,12 45:3, 18 46:9,12,21 47:8 50:2,5, 14 put 31:22 34:21 45:7 putting 34:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>question 16:17 17:4 26:8 29:3,7 33:8 35:3,4, 15 37:9,13 38:19 40:11 41:3,4,6,10, 17,21 43:16, 18,23 45:6,23 47:22 48:4 questions 14:20 24:8, 12,21,24 25:4,10 28:13 30:6,10,11 40:18 41:20 44:11 quickly 41:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>racial 13:21 real 30:18 41:7 50:18 51:5</p>	<p>reasonable 15:19,20 28:13 41:23 42:6 45:2 Rebuilding 49:16 receive 49:3 received 30:2 recent 12:16 recommendati ons 48:12,18 record 21:23, 24 24:15 redistricting 12:2,16,20 14:7,9,12 15:11 16:2 25:5 31:16 52:17 54:5 redistrictingco mmittee@ senatedem. ilga.gov. 40:13,16 52:11,15 referring 28:19,20,23 reflects 25:12 27:20,21 28:1 36:7 reforms 12:15 refusal 44:11 refusing 44:15 regularly 42:4 released 13:11 remind 32:4 52:12 reminder 52:4,16 represent</p>	<p>24:5 38:11 representation 13:21 14:14 represented 23:8,11 represents 25:14 38:15 Republican 28:23 29:18 51:21 Republicans 28:20,23 29:22 30:20 32:10,20 50:5 require 13:20 requires 39:1 respond 39:12 46:2 responding 15:8 response 13:12 29:3 responsibility 14:9 39:12 review 15:4,5 26:12 31:2 41:24 42:14, 21 43:7,13 45:14 47:2 48:2 reviewed 31:17 rhetorical 16:17 Rights 13:19 rings 32:1 room 22:13 53:7,17 rounds 15:14</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>sad 50:16 sake 43:8 save 38:2 schedule 16:6 scheduled 46:12,13 52:17 screen 17:23 18:1,4 22:18 seeking 17:14, 15 24:19,20 28:13 Seigle 52:20 senate 12:2 13:1 15:7 32:13 52:16 54:5 senator 12:1 14:22,23 15:16 16:8,9, 18,22 17:4,5, 6,18 22:2 24:22,23 25:7,13,17, 18,20,21,23 26:5,13,21 27:12,16 28:12 29:1,17 30:7,9,15 31:18 32:4,8 33:18,24 35:2,22 36:10,16 37:1,17 38:2, 21 39:5,6,19 40:10,17,20 41:2,7,10,11, 14,17,18</p>	<p>42:16,23 43:10,15,17, 19,22 44:1,2, 15,21,22 46:7,16 48:7 49:4,6 51:11 sense 16:2 session 45:10 46:8,11 set 45:2 setting 36:2 shame 27:7 50:19 51:6 shameful 44:10 shape 39:4 share 17:22 18:1,4 27:12 31:12,14 42:14 52:9 shocking 44:13 shoes 44:15 show 13:17 27:3,24 32:17,21 33:18 34:14 46:18 showing 34:8 shown 32:16 34:2 shows 30:17 shut 49:18 51:2,13,14 side 19:15,16 20:8,9,13,14, 19,23 21:6,12 22:5,14,15, 20,22 23:1,16 26:1,16 27:23</p>
---	---	---	--	--

<p>28:3,10 29:24 30:5 31:21,24 34:3,10 35:23 36:1,22 37:22 39:8,16 42:17 43:11 48:10, 15,22 49:1 51:21 sidelines 28:7 similar 33:2 39:24 48:21 Sims 12:1 14:19 16:22 17:6,7,12,18, 24 18:5 19:18,21,24 21:14,17,21 22:3 24:10, 17,23 25:7, 16,20,23 26:13 27:13, 16 28:22 29:16 30:13 31:18 33:19, 24 35:19 36:16 37:17 38:21 39:7 40:10,20 41:1,9,14 42:16 43:10, 17,22 44:2, 15,19 46:7 48:7 51:11 single 37:10 sir 16:17 sit 28:7 37:8 sitting 33:13 44:14 slow 20:1 small 17:22</p>	<p>sort 45:2 49:19 south 22:15, 20,22 23:19 Southern 53:18 southwest 18:24 19:15 20:9,13,19 21:6 Spartan 52:21 speak 12:8 SPEAKER 18:3 special 14:10 specifically 29:7 31:12 spectrum 42:5 split 21:7 splitting 23:18 spoken 51:14 spring 13:2 40:1,4,8 Springfield 46:13 53:8 stand 34:13 standards 13:18,20 14:13 stands 54:5 start 30:11 37:7 state 12:8,15, 20 13:1 24:2, 6 25:12,15 31:15 32:18 36:7,19 38:9 40:1 51:9 53:7</p>	<p>statement 31:19 statements 28:5 states 18:14 23:6 statewide 32:11 stipulate 27:17,18 stop 34:5,6 strategy 36:2 39:10 study 13:10 subcircuit 32:7 subcircuits 53:2,4,14 54:1 subject 15:10 53:1,12,23 submission 30:3 submissions 39:3 submit 37:11 39:16,17 40:12,14 42:18 43:12, 23 48:10 52:2,12,13 submittal 41:24 43:8,20 submitted 29:21,23 40:6,7,23 43:2,5 submitting 35:14,15 38:22</p>	<p>suburbs 19:1 21:3 suggestions 48:12 summer 13:2 sunlight 28:17 super 32:9,12 34:4 supermajoriti es 49:9 supermajority 42:9 47:4 supports 14:11 suppose 37:12 44:4 supposedly 43:3 surpassed 18:14 surrounding 19:1 suspect 48:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>takes 20:2 40:5 taking 29:5,6 37:20,22 44:3 talk 23:4 30:7 32:3,5,6 49:23 talked 36:12 talking 29:24 31:11 telling 35:20 terms 33:3 testified 41:22 51:4</p>	<p>testify 17:14, 15 24:20 37:11 46:2 52:23 53:9,21 testifying 27:5 testimony 15:1 16:5,8, 19 17:2,9 33:10 35:10, 16 36:11 40:12,15 52:14 Texas 18:15 things 16:3 28:19 31:19 37:6 46:3 thinking 30:21 47:17 thought 26:23 41:23 42:5 thoughts 42:15 throat 31:7 throw 49:21 Thursday 53:6 time 15:1,4, 18,22 40:4,5, 14 41:24 42:10,13,21 43:6,13,24 44:3,7 45:22 46:22,23 50:15 timeline 45:2 times 41:3,4, 13 today 12:4,8 15:1 16:20 17:10 25:2 26:23 30:4</p>
--	--	---	---	---

45:5 told 16:10 totally 49:18 township 22:10,11 tract 20:4 tracts 19:13 20:5,15 transparency 13:4 14:2 27:21 28:14 30:22 31:22 34:14 44:10, 16 transparency' s 43:8 transparent 12:18 14:8 27:21 two-week 43:6	<hr/> V <hr/> valid 47:12 vested 14:10 veto 45:10 46:8,11 vice 29:13 33:1,6 35:6 VICE-CHAIR 14:19 16:22 17:7,12,24 18:5 19:18, 21,24 21:14, 17,21 22:3 24:10,17 25:7,16,20,23 26:13 27:16 28:22 29:16 30:13 31:18 33:24 35:19 36:16 37:17 38:21 39:7 40:10 41:1,9, 14 42:16 43:10,17,22 44:19 46:7 48:7 51:11 vice-chairman 37:15 42:8 view 25:22 52:5 views 14:18 Villegas 17:20 virtual 52:22 53:8,20 virtually 36:20 voice 27:11 voiceless 32:19	vote 15:6 25:19 31:2 32:17,22 40:23 41:24 43:1,4,9,20 45:9 47:5 50:7 voted 31:15 42:24 Voters 12:5,7, 9,12,22 14:11,17 votes 13:8 14:4 42:12 voting 13:19 26:9	weeks' 46:1,6 47:20 48:1 weigh 13:10 well- researched 33:12 well-thought- out 33:11 western 21:2 white 21:7 22:6 whomever 45:3 witnesses 17:13 26:22 27:5 35:17 38:5,11 39:22,23,24 42:4 44:6,7, 12 Women 12:5, 7,9,12,22 14:11,17 words 44:20 work 33:12 40:3 47:16 49:11,24 workday 46:23 works 37:13 40:9 written 13:16 wrong 17:5	<hr/> Z <hr/> Zoom 20:2 52:24 53:10, 22 zooming 20:10 21:5, 11,18
<hr/> U <hr/> U.S. 13:24 unanimous 27:4 understand 28:16 45:5 46:17 48:11 University 53:18 UNKNOWN 18:3 unlike 40:4 unreasonable 46:24 48:3,4 unveiled 15:3 upcoming 36:18		<hr/> W <hr/> wait 44:4 49:20 51:23 waiting 51:20 walk 36:18 wanted 16:7 18:9 23:24 wards 15:13 Waukegan 19:17 website 21:24 52:7 Wednesday 52:19 week 33:3 41:5 weeks 15:13, 19 41:23 42:2,6,10 45:4,13,16 46:18 47:1,24 48:6 50:6	<hr/> Y <hr/> year 31:17 York 18:15 19:6,7,9 23:6, 7,10	